

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/520,706	ONO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Aung S. Moe	2618

**All Participants:**

(1) Aung S. Moe.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John R. Mattingly.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 2, 4, 10 and 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner requests the permission to amend claims 1, 2, 4, 10 and 14.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**AUNG MOE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)